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Executive Summary

Background and Study Objectives

Access to Health Care in Rural Areas and Ambulatory Care Sensitive Hospitalization

Access to primary care in non-metropolitan (hereafter, rural) counties, particularly those with high concentrations of minority residents, is handicapped by two factors: proportionately more poor and uninsured persons, served by fewer health care providers. In this environment, safety net providers can have marked effects on population health, as measured by rates of ambulatory care sensitive (ACS) hospitalization. ACS conditions are those for which, in the consensus of medical experts, access to primary care of acceptable quality can reduce the frequency of hospitalization among persons with these diagnoses. While not all hospitalizations can be prevented, at the population level ACS hospitalizations have been found to be lower where other measures of access to care, such as provider availability, are higher.

Community Health Centers and Rural Health Clinics

Two principal types of federally designated safety net providers are present in rural areas: federally qualified community health centers (CHCs) and rural health clinics (RHCs). Community health centers (CHCs), administered by the Bureau of Primary Care, HRSA, focus on providing primary and preventive care to underserved populations. The Rural Health Clinic (RHC) program is directed toward the retention of providers in rural areas.

Study Objective

To clarify the contribution that CHCs and RHCs make to access to care, as measured by rates of ACS hospitalization among children, working age adults and older adults.

Results

Total Population

Adjusted analyses: The presence of a CHC or RHC in the county did not affect the ACS admission rate among children. For working age adults, the presence of a CHC in the county of residence was associated with decreased rates for ACS hospitalizations, when compared to a county with neither facility. Among older adults, the presence of either a CHC or RHC, or both, in the county reduced ACS admission rates, compared to counties in which neither provider was present.

Analyses Restricted to Uninsured Population for Children and Working Age Adults

Adjusted analyses: The presence of a CHC or RHC in the county did not affect the ACS admission rate among uninsured children or working age adults after adjusting for county-level demographic and health services availability characteristics.
Implications

Results suggest that CHCs and RHCs may play a useful role in providing rural adults with access to primary health care and help to reduce rates of ambulatory care sensitive conditions. Further research is needed to understand the role of CHCs and RHCs in fostering access to care for children.